

Flathead County

Planning & Zoning1035 1st Ave W, Kalispell, MT 59901
Telephone 406.751.8200 Fax 406.751.8210

NOV 1 3 2014 FLATHEAD COUNTY PLANNING & ZONING OFFICE

APPLICATION FOR A VARIANCE

Submit this application, all required information, and appropriate fee (see current fee schedule) to the Planning & Zoning office at the address listed above.

FEE ATTACHED \$ 350.00

Before completing this application please read instructions on page 4.

1.	OWNER:				
	Name: Michael and Debbie Thomas		more than the second		
		Phone:	702-451-0479		
	City/State/Zip: Henderson Nevada, 89074				
	Email: mike@piercd.com				
	INTEREST IN PROPERTY: Owners				
2.	APPLICANT: (If different from above)				
	Name: Michael Roessmann		V		
	Address: 8000Hwy 35	Phone:	406-261-4557		
	City/State/Zip: Bigfork Montana, 59911				
0		ANCE			
3.	TECHNICAL/PROFESSIONAL ASSISTANCE: (If applicable)				
	Name: George Gibson	Di	406 927 6909		
	Address: 443 Osborn Ave	Phone:	400-637-0696		
	City/State/Zip: Bigfork Montana, 59911				
	Email: george@gibsonarchitecture.com				
4.	LOCATION OF PROPERTY FOR WHICH VARIANCE IS SOUGHT:				
	Physical Address: 156 Bjork Drive				
5.	ZONING DISTRICT: Bigfork	ZONING DESIGNA	TION: RC-1		
6.	DATE PROPERTY ACQUIRED: 1/31/14				
7.	LEGAL DESCRIPTION:				
	Subdivision (if applicable) Eagle Bend #12	Lot/Tract(s) #	131		
	Assessor # <u>0978684</u> Section <u>26</u>	Township <u>27</u>	Range <u>20</u>		



and Paragraph of	the Zoning Regulations): Section 3	.14 Part 3.14.040 Paragraph 4 Maximum height 3		
THIS IS A REQU	JEST FOR A VARIANCE IN	RELATION TO THE PROVISIO		
	ATIONS (check one below):			
Area	Yard	Height X Other		
Coverage	Parking	Other		
STATE SPECIFICALLY THE CHANGE(S) PROPOSED AND THE REASON(S) SUCH CHANGE(S) ARE NECESSARY (use additional sheet if necessary):				
maintained. Currentle constructed over living users from the elements.	y the third level consists of an interio	ered porch on the observation level to be r area along with a small deck area which llow this area to be built as designed to p ong term health of the structure by minim clusion)		
EXPLAIN HOW YOUR CASE CONFORMS TO EACH OF THE FOLLOWING REQUIREMENTS (be complete, use additional sheet if necessary):				
		of these regulations will limit the		
reasonable use of the property, and deprive the applicant of rights enjo				
by other properties similarly situated in the same district. As developments in sloped/mountainous areas are built up; generally the most desirable lots have				
developed. What ter particular lot. By des height. As the struct quickly grows to app	ds to be left in inventory are the morign the elevation of the house is 32 fares elevation is assessed along the	e difficult sites. This is the situation with t eet tall well within the maximum allowable descending hillside, the once compliant I conforming to zoning. If the site had a m		
B. The hards	hip is the result of lot size, s	hape, topography, or other		
	nce over which the applican			
approximately 40% The second aspect third component is to would be to cost pro	of the lot. This is further constrained is the steep nature of the slope which the fact that this lot is a solid rock more	ildable envelope on this lot consists of with the setbacks on the front and side yat is not usable on the west side of the lot. notith and to establish a true basement lework with the building envelope as establistic will allow us to do.		
0 771 1 1				
	hip is peculiar to the proper	ty. more substantial elevation drop immedia		

D. The hardship was not created by the applicant.

This hardship was not created by the applicant. It's very difficult to predetermine the outcome of design in relation to the lot's limitations or unique features prior to the design process reaching it's conclusion. We have made every effort to minimize the issue given the limitations that we've had to deal with.

E. The hardship is not economic (where a reasonable or viable alternative exists).

The design of the structure and the observation deck are within the bounds of the zoning conformance for the Eagle Bend and Flathead County. The roof over the deck space is what is in question. Given the built in fireplace and the living space below the deck; as much protection that can be included in this design, makes the most sense. Also the fact that options to move the structure to a more favorable location within the lot are non-existent; there doesn't appear to be any other options other than to pursue a variance.

F. Granting the variance will not adversely affect the neighboring properties or the public.

From the road (east elevation) the structure will have a much lower visual due to the fact that the road is 5 feet higher than the front entry. The north side has an existing residence that is not going to have a clear visual of the roof in question. The neighbor to east will have a front elevation view of the residence and will see the compliant interior portion to the third level. The lot to the south is not yet developed and it sits higher in elevation as the rock outcrop rises to the south. (See attached for conclusion.)

G. The variance requested is the minimum variance, which will alleviate the hardship.

Given the fact that the third level including the interior portion as well as the deck itself comply with elevation restrictions; we only request that the detail be allowed to conclude as drawn allowing the roof to remain over the existing deck.

H. Granting the variance will not confer a special privilege that is denied other similar properties in the same district.

Lelieve that this variance should be granted on the basis that this problem is consistent with numerous lots and locations that tend to have heavy sloping. I would surmise that this issue will become more prevalent as more desirable locations are built on. Our belief is that other parties on difficult lots should also be afforded similar relief.

12. ATTACH A PLOT PLAN OR DRAWING.



I hereby certify under penalty of perjury and the laws of the State of Montana that the information submitted herein, on all other submitted forms, documents, plans or any other information submitted as part of this application, to be true, complete, and accurate to the best of my knowledge. Should any information or representation submitted in connection with this application be incorrect or untrue, I understand that any approval based thereon may be rescinded or other appropriate action taken. The signing of this application signifies approval for FCPZ staff to be present on the property for routine monitoring and inspection during the approval and development process.

Owner/Applicant Signature

11/13/14 Date

INSTRUCTIONS FOR VARIANCE APPLICATION

- 1. <u>ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS</u>. Answers should be clear and contain all the necessary information.
- 2. In answering Question 7, refer to the classification system in the Zoning Regulations and explain in detail the specific standards from which the applicant is seeking relief.
- 3. In answering Question 9, be specific and complete. In this and all other questions, if additional space is needed you may use additional paper, and list which section number you are continuing.
- 4. Answer Question 10, A-H completely and fully.
- 5. A copy of the plot plan or site plan must be submitted with each application (*Please include 6 copies if you submit a size larger than 11x17*).
- 6. A <u>Certified</u> Adjoining Property Owners List must be submitted with the application (see forms below). The list will be sent directly to the Planning & Zoning office, unless you request otherwise. This list is valid for a period of 6 months from date generated. You may also get a certified adjoining landowners list from a title company if you choose.
- 7. A fee per the FCPZ schedule of fees for a variance application must be submitted with this application to cover the cost of necessary investigation, publication, mailing and processing procedures.



Thomas Project

Application for Variance Concluding Statements

Line item 10 - The request for variance is to allow the roof over the covered porch to be maintained. Currently the third level consists of an interior area along with a small deck area which is over living space below. The objective is to allow this area to be built as designed to protect users from the elements as well as assist in maintaining long term health of the structure by minimizing the level of snow and moisture. With weather being an impactive aspect of life in the Flathead the covered porch affords a three season usage regardless of weather conditions. Currently a portion of the roof is approximately 3 feet above the allowable height of 35 feet.

Line item 11F - From the road (east elevation) the structure will have a much lower visual due to the fact that the road is 5 feet higher than the front entry. The north side has an existing residence that is not going to have a clear visual of the roof in question, and the structure that will be visible is not affected by this variance. The lot to the south is not yet developed and it sits higher in elevation as the rock outcrop rises to the south. The neighbor to the west sits well below the structure level and is screened by trees. The street is low use and used primarily by the residents as it is toward the end of a cull-de-sac. All in all the structure as proposed does not cause issues for any neighboring property or obstructs any view corridors; this applies to current or yet to be built residences.

